

FPE 342

PROJECT #1

ROUTE SELECTION AND FIELD RECONNAISSANCE PREP
ST. EDWARDS PARK VICINITY

CONTENTS

ROAD A TRAVEL TIME	P. 1-2
ROAD A COSTS	P. 3
ROAD B TRAVEL TIME	P. 4
ROAD B COSTS	P. 5
CURVE CALCULATIONS	P. 6
BEST ROAD TO BUILD	P. 7
BEARINGS & DISTANCES	P. 8

JANUARY 19, 1990

ROAD A

ROAD SECTION	% GRADE	LENGTH	# CURVES	RADIUS
1	0%	150'	0	—
2	-5%	200'	0	—
3	0%	200'	1	60°
4	+10%	200'	0	—
5	0%	270'	1	60°
6	+10%	600'	1	150°
7	+5%	200'	1	170°
8	+10%	450'	1	60°
9	+5%	200'	0	—
10	+10%	100'	0	—
11	+5%	410'	1	60°

PLEASE NOTE:
I DROPPED GRADE
ON THIS ROAD IN
SEVERAL SPOTS TO
AVOID EXCEEDING
MAX 10% ADVERSE
ON CURVES, THIS
FORCED ME TO SWITCH
BACK IN A "MARGINAL
SPOT."

✓ o.k.

TOTALS

SECTIONS	%	LENGTH	# CURVES	AUG. RADII	# CURVES/MI
W 2	-5%	200'	0	0	0
X 1, 3, 35	0	620'	2	60°	17
Y 7, 9, 11	+5%	810'	2	115°	13
Z 4, 6, 8 & 10	+10%	1350'	2	155°	8

TOTAL 2980' = 0.56 MI.

AGAIN, ASSUMING A TRUCK OF 280 HP

LOADED WEIGHT = 78,000

EMPTY WEIGHT = 22,000

COMPACTED GRAVEL ROAD, SINGLE LANE W/ DITCH

$$B = \frac{(HP \times E)(1000)}{\text{WEIGHT}}$$

WHERE E = RATIO OF
EFFECTIVE H.P. = 0.74

$$B(\text{LOADED}) = 2.65$$

$$B(\text{EMPTY}) = 9.42$$

ROAD A CONTINUED.....

USING BNG HANDBOOK TO
COMPUTE ROUND TRIP TRAVEL TIME

TIME PER MILE

SECTION	AS CONTROLLED BY GRADE		AS CONTROLLED BY ALIGNMENT	
	LOADED TRUCK	UNLOADED TRUCK	LOADED TRUCK	UNLOADED TRUCK
W (-5%)	* 2.0	* 2.0	1.5	1.2
X (0%)	1.8	1.2	* 5.8	* 5.2
Y (5%)	4.2	1.5	* 5.4	* 4.6
Z (10%)	* 7.2	2.1	4.5	* 3.7

* DENOTES GOVERNING TIME FOR SECTION.

SECTION	GOVERNING UNLOADED TIME X 1.162	TOTAL TIME PER ROUND TRIP MILE	DISTANCE (MI)	TIME PER SECTION
W	2.32	4.3	.038	0.16 MIN
X	6.0	11.8	.117	1.38 MIN
Y	5.3	10.7	.153	1.63 MIN
Z	4.30	11.5	.256	2.94 MIN
0.56 MI IN 6.11 MIN ESTIMATED TRAVEL TIME				

ROAD A CONTINUEDUSING USES CHART TO ESTIMATE EXCAVATION COSTS

⑦ DIVIDING ROAD INTO SIDE SLOPE CLASSES FROM LANDING TO ROAD A.

SECTION	SIDESLOPE CLASS	DISTANCE (FEET)
1	0-30%	440'
2	30-50%	150
3	0-30%	320
4	30-50%	1030'
5	0-30%	1040'

SIDESLOPE CLASS TOTALS

CLASS	DISTANCE (FT)	DISTANCE (MI)	MIDPOINT ON NOMOGRAPH
0-30%	1800'	.34	15%
30-50%	1180'	.22	40%
TOTAL	2980'	0.56 MI	

ROAD CONSTRUCTION COSTS =

$$(\text{MILEAGE} \times \# \text{CY/MILE}) (\text{BASE UNIT RATE}) (\text{SLOPE ADJ. FACTOR})$$

SECTIONS 1, 3 & 5

$$(0.34 \text{ mi}) (5000 \text{ CY/MI}) (0.90) (1.0) = \$1530.00$$

SECTIONS 2 & 4

$$(0.22 \text{ mi}) (12,500 \text{ CY/MI}) (0.90) (1.2) = \$2970.00$$

$$\text{TOTAL EXCAVATION COST} = \$4500.00$$

$$\text{CULVERTS} = (\$100 \text{ STA} \times 29.8 \text{ STA}) = \$2980.00$$

$$\boxed{\text{EXCAVATION + CULVERT COSTS} = \$7480.00}$$

CULVERT COST WILL BE MORE AT STREAM CROSSINGS
COST??

FOR ROAD B.

ROAD SEGMENT	% GRADE	LENGTH	CURVES	CURVE RADIUS
A	0	100'	0	R = 150, 170
B	+ 10%	2000'	2	
C	0	200'	0	

TOTAL DISTANCE = 2300' = 0.44 MI

USING BNSG HANDBOOK TO ESTIMATE TRAVEL TIMES!

ASSUMING A TRUCK OF 280 HP

LOADED WEIGHT = 78,000 LBS

UNLOADED WEIGHT = 22,000 LBS

COMPACTED GRAVEL ROAD, SINGLE LANE WITH DITCH

$$B(\text{LOADED}) = \frac{(HP)(E)(1000)}{\text{LOADED WEIGHT}} = \frac{(280)(0.74)(1000)}{78,000} = 2.65$$

$$B(\text{EMPTY}) = \frac{(HP)(E)(1000)}{\text{UNLOADED WEIGHT}} = \frac{(280)(0.74)(1000)}{22,000} = 9.42$$

WHERE E = RATIO OF EFFECTIVE HORSEPOWER = 0.74

SECTION | AVG. RADIUS | CURVES/MILE

A	0	0
B	160'	5 $\Rightarrow \frac{2}{1000'} \times (280) = 5$
C	0	0

CONTROLLED
BY GRADE

CONTROLLED
BY ALIGNMENT

SECTION	LOADED TRUCK	UNLOADED TRUCK	LOADED TRUCK	UNLOADED TRUCK
A	1.8 *	1.2	1.5	1.3 *
B	7.2 *	2.3	3.2	2.8 *
C	1.8 *	1.2	1.5	1.3 *

* DENOTES
GOVERNING
TIME

SECTION	*GOVERNING UNLOADED TIME x 1.162	TOTAL TIME PER ROUND TRIP MILE	DISTANCE (MI)	TIME PER SECTION
A	1.5	3.3	.02	0.1 MIN
B	3.3	10.5	.38	4.0 MIN
C	1.5	2.2	.04	0.1 MIN

ESTIMATED
TRAVEL
TIME

ROAD B CONTINUED:

USING USFS CHART TO ESTIMATE
EXCAVATION COSTS

⑦ DIVIDING ROAD INTO SIDESLOPE CLASSES:

SECTION	SIDE SLOPE CLASS	DISTANCE	TOTALS		
			SIDE SLOPE	DISTANCE	MILES
1	0-30%	820'	0-30%	1020'	0.193
2	30-50%	110'	30-50%	360	0.068
3	50-70%	920'	50-70%	920	0.174
4	30-50%	250'	> 70%	0	0
5	0-30%	200'			0.44 mi

ROAD CONSTRUCTION COSTS =

$$= (\text{MILEAGE}) (\# \text{CY}/\text{MI}) (\text{BASE UNIT RATE}) (\text{SLOPE ADJ. FACTOR})$$

SECTIONS 1 AND 5

$$(0.193 \text{ mi}) (5000 \text{ CY}/\text{mi}) (\$0.90) (1.0) = \$869.00$$

SECTIONS 2 AND 4

$$(0.068 \text{ mi}) (12,500 \text{ CY}/\text{mi}) (\$0.90) (1.2) = \$918.00$$

SECTION 3

$$(0.174 \text{ mi}) (28,500 \text{ CY}/\text{mi}) (\$1.80) (1.4) = \$12,497.00$$

$$\text{TOTAL EXCAVATION COSTS} = \$14,284.00$$

$$\text{CULVERT} = (\$100 \text{ STA}) (23 \text{ STA}) = \$2300.00$$

$$\text{TOTAL CULVERT + EXCAVATION COSTS} = \$16,584.00$$

CALCULATION OF CURVE LENGTHS FOR BOTH ROADS

FOR ROAD A:

TOTAL OF 6 CURVES FROM LANDING TO ROAD A

$$1. R = 60^\circ \quad \Delta = 130^\circ \quad D = 95.5 \quad L = 136'$$

$$R = 60^\circ \quad \Delta = 110^\circ \quad D = 95.5 \quad L = 115'$$

$$R = 150^\circ \quad \Delta = 45^\circ \quad D = 38.2 \quad L = 118'$$

$$R = 60 \quad \Delta = 145^\circ \quad D = 95.5 \quad L = 152'$$

$$R = 170^\circ \quad \Delta = 30^\circ \quad D = 33.7 \quad L = 90'$$

$$R = 60^\circ \quad \Delta = 105^\circ \quad D = 95.5 \quad L = 110'$$

FOR ROAD B

TOTAL OF 2 CURVES FROM LANDING TO ROAD B

$$R = 150^\circ \quad \Delta = 45^\circ \quad D = 38.2 \quad L = 105'$$

$$R = 170^\circ \quad \Delta = 30^\circ \quad D = 33.7 \quad L = 89'$$

$$D = \frac{5729.578}{R}$$

$$L = \frac{A100}{D}$$

⑧ CHOOSING ONE OF THE LOCATIONS AS "BEST TO BUILD."

BOTH OF THE ROADS HAVE ADVANTAGES & DISADVANTAGES.
 ** SELECTION OF LOCATION DEPENDS ON MANAGEMENT DIRECTION FOR AREA. **

① IF I WERE LOOKING AT IT PURELY FROM AN ECONOMIC VIEWPOINT I WOULD CHOOSE ROAD A BECAUSE CONSTRUCTION COSTS ARE $\approx \frac{1}{2}$ THE AMOUNT OF ROAD "B".

② IF I WERE LOOKING AT IT FROM A "POLITICAL" OR "ENVIRONMENTAL" VIEW POINT, I WOULD BUILD ROAD B, BECAUSE IT IS SHORTER AND THERE ARE NO STREAM CROSSINGS.

ROAD A

ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
- NO STEEP GROUND ($> 50\%$)	- 2 STREAM CROSSINGS
- LOWER CONSTRUCTION COSTS.	- ROAD IS CLOSE TO HEADWATERS OF A 3rd STREAM
	- ROAD SWITCHES BACK NEAR A 4th STREAM
	- LONGER ROAD
	- SEVERAL GRADE BREAKS
	- SEVERAL SHARP TURNS - MINIMUM RADIUS
	- MORE TRAVEL TIME

4
STREAMS
MAY BE
AFFECTED

ROAD B

ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
- NO STREAM CROSSINGS	- EXPENSIVE CONSTRUCTION COSTS
- SHORTER ROAD	- REQUIRES FULL BENCH CONSTRUCTION
- FEW GRADE BREAKS	- STEEP GROUND DIRECTLY UPSLOPE FROM STREAM
- NO SHARP TURNS	
- LESS TRAVEL TIME	

ASSUMING THAT WATER QUALITY IS A PRIORITY IN THIS AREA (AS IT USUALLY IS THESE DAYS), AND GIVEN THE LONG LIST OF DISADVANTAGES ASSOCIATED WITH "ROAD A", I WOULD BUILD ROAD B DESPITE THE EXTRA COSTS. (PROVIDED THE TIMBER VOLUME WAS WORTH IT). OF COURSE, WHEN LOCATING IN THE FIELD I WOULD TRY TO FIND A WAY TO AVOID SO MUCH STEEP GROUND.

BEARINGS AND DISTANCES FROM KNOWN POINT

FROM SOUTHWEST CORNER OF BUILDING
NEAR ROAD E. TO LANDING.

BUILDING ⇒	S 70° W	FOR	240'
CORNER	S 20° W	FOR	430'
	S 40° W	FOR	485'
	N 80° W	FOR	400'
	N 70° W	FOR	300'
	N 45° W	FOR	1000' ⇒ LANDING SITE